UNITED NATIONS



UNEP/IPBES/3/INF/6



United Nations Environment Programme

Distr.: General 7 May 2010

Original: English



Third ad hoc intergovernmental and multi-stakeholder meeting on an intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services

Busan, Republic of Korea, 7–11 June 2010

Item 3 of the provisional agenda*

Consideration of whether to establish an intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services

Indicative budget for an intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services

Note by the secretariat

- 1. The present note provides an indicative budget for the implementation of the work programme of the proposed new intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services and for its administration.
- 2. The table set out in annex I to the present note provides an indicative budget for various options for the possible functions and the work programme of the platform, as presented in paragraphs 19–23 of document UNEP/IPBES/3/2, for a period of 10 years. This 10-year cycle was adopted to reflect the approximate timing and sequence for undertaking various types of assessments. Comprehensive subglobal, subregional and national assessments, in addition to thematic assessments, would first be undertaken (year 1 to year 5). Once completed, they would be followed by a comprehensive global assessment (year 6 to year 10).
- 3. The table set out in annex II provides estimated costs for the administration of the platform for a period of five years. All sums of money are in United States dollars.

* UNEP/IPBES/3/1.

K1061150 110510

Annex I

Indicative budget for the proposed work programme of an intergovernmental science-policy platform on biodiversity and ecosystem services

| Component | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 | Subtotal | Total |
|---|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Generation of knowledge | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Option 1: Platform only identifies key | scientific infe | ormation need | ded | | | | l . | | | | | |
| Two workshops for experts in years 4 and 9 to identify key gaps and research agenda | _ | _ | _ | 150 000 | _ | _ | _ | | 150 000 | _ | 300 000 | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | 300 000 |
| Option 2: Platform only identifies key | scientific info | ormation need | ded and facili | tates dialogue | e between key | scientific org | ganizations, p | olicymakers a | and funding o | organizations | | |
| Two workshops for experts to identify key gaps and research agenda and one workshop with broader group of stakeholders in years 4 and 9 | _ | _ | _ | 200 000 | _ | _ | _ | _ | 200 000 | _ | 400 000 | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | 400 000 |
| Option 3: No role in knowledge gener | ation | | | | | | I. | | | | | |
| No activity | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Regular and timely assessments | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Option 1: Platform performs regular, t | imely assessn | nents to inclu | de the follow | ing: | | | l | | | l | | |
| Comprehensive subglobal assessments (one assessment per region (five regions)) (average costs per year) | 1 250 000 | 1 250 000 | 1 250 000 | 1 250 000 | 1 250 000 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 6 250 000 | |
| Thematic issues at appropriate scales (one assessment per year) | 500 000 | 500 000 | 500 000 | 500 000 | 500 000 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 2 500 000 | |
| Comprehensive global assessment (average costs per year) | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 3 000 000 | 3 000 000 | 3 000 000 | 3 000 000 | 3 000 000 | 15 000 000 | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | 23 750 000 |

| Component | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 | Subtotal | Total |
|---|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Option 2: Platform performs regular, | timely assessr | ments to inclu | de the follow | ing: | | | | | | | | |
| Comprehensive subglobal assessments (one assessment per region (five regions)) (average costs per year) | 1 250 000 | 1 250 000 | 1 250 000 | 1 250 000 | 1 250 000 | | | | | | 6 250 000 | |
| Subregional and national assessments (five subregional or national assessments in five countries per year) | 1 000 000 | 2 000 000 | 3 000 000 | 2 000 000 | 1000000 | | | | | | 9 000 000 | |
| Thematic issues at appropriate scales (one assessment per year) | 500 000 | 500 000 | 500 000 | 500 000 | 500 000 | | | | | | 2 500 000 | |
| Comprehensive global assessment (average costs per year) | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 3 000 000 | 3 000 000 | 3 000 000 | 3 000 000 | 3 000 000 | 15 000 000 | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | 32 750 000 |
| Option 3: No role in regular, timely as | ssessments | | | l . | | | | | | | | |
| No activity | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| Supporting policy formulation and implementation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Option 1: Platform supports policy for structures | rmulation and | l implementat | ion by identif | ying policy-re | elevant tools a | and methodol | ogies, such as | those arising | from assessr | nents, and wo | orking with oth | er existing |
| Three workshops every three years for policymakers to disseminate assessment findings and develop policy tools and methodologies | _ | _ | 300 000 | _ | _ | 300 000 | _ | _ | 300 000 | _ | 900 000 | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | 900 000 |
| Option 2: The new platform supports apply those methods and tools, in add | | | | by identifying | [and develop | oing] policy-re | elevant tools | and methodol | ogies. It assis | ts decision m | akers to gain a | ccess to and |
| Three workshops every three years for policymakers to disseminate assessment findings and develop policy tools and methodologies | _ | _ | 300 000 | _ | _ | 300 000 | _ | _ | 300 000 | _ | 900 000 | |
| Five regional meetings in years 4, 7 and 10 | _ | _ | _ | 500 000 | _ | _ | 500 000 | _ | _ | 500 000 | 1 500 000 | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 400 000 |

UNEP/IPBES/3/INF/6

| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 | Subtotal | Total |
|--|--|--|----------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Option 3: No role in supporting the ap | plication of p | olicy-relevan | t tools and me | ethodologies | | | | | | | L | |
| No activity | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| Building capacity | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Option 1: Platform prioritizes the key scientific activities Financial support for the highest- | capacity-build | ding needs to | 1 350 000 | science-policy | y interface and | d provides fin | ancial suppor | t for the high 1 350 000 | est-priority no | 1 350 000 | se related direct | ly to its |
| priority needs Total | | | | | | | | | | | | 13 500 000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| funding for both the high-priority need | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Financial support for the highest- | ds and broade 1 350 000 | r capacity-bui | 1 350 000 | es related to t 1 350 000 | he platform 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 13 500 000 | |
| | | | | | | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 13 500 000 500 000 | |
| Financial support for the highest- priority needs | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 | | 1 350 000 | | 1 350 000 | | | 14 000 000 |
| Financial support for the highest- priority needs One global meeting every other year | 1 350 000 acity-building | 1 350 000 100 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 100 000 financing for | 1 350 000 | 100 000 | _ | 100 000 | | 100 000 | 500 000 | |
| Financial support for the highest- priority needs One global meeting every other year Total Option 3: Platform prioritizes key cap | 1 350 000 acity-building | 1 350 000 100 000 | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 100 000 financing for | 1 350 000 | 100 000 | _ | 100 000 | | 100 000 | 500 000 | |
| Financial support for the highest- priority needs One global meeting every other year Total Option 3: Platform prioritizes key capa for both the high-priority needs and br | 1 350 000 acity-building | 1 350 000 100 000 g needs, and the y-building ac | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 100 000 financing for d to the platform | 1 350 000 capacity-buil | 100 000 ding by hosti | ng a forum w | 100 000 | | 100 000 f capacity-bui | 500 000 | |
| Financial support for the highest-priority needs One global meeting every other year Total Option 3: Platform prioritizes key cap for both the high-priority needs and br One global meeting every other year | 1 350 000 acity-building roader capacit | 1 350 000 100 000 g needs, and the y-building ac | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 100 000 financing for d to the platform | 1 350 000 capacity-buil | 100 000 ding by hosti | ng a forum w | 100 000 | | 100 000 f capacity-bui | 500 000 | ate funding |
| Financial support for the highest-priority needs One global meeting every other year Total Option 3: Platform prioritizes key capfor both the high-priority needs and brone global meeting every other year Total | 1 350 000 acity-building roader capacit | 1 350 000 100 000 g needs, and the y-building ac | 1 350 000 | 1 350 000 100 000 financing for d to the platform | 1 350 000 capacity-buil | 100 000 ding by hosti | ng a forum w | 100 000 | | 100 000 f capacity-bui | 500 000 | ate funding |

Annex II

Indicative budget for administration of the new platform

| Component | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | | Subtotal | Total |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|
| Meetings | | | | | | | | |
| Plenary (three days, interpretation in six languages, support for developing-country participants, documents in English only) | 800 000 | 800 000 | 800 000 | 800 000 | 800 000 | | 4 000 000 | |
| Two executive board meetings (no interpretation, documents in English only) | 200 000 | 200 000 | 200 000 | 200 000 | 200 000 | | 1 000 000 | |
| Two scientific advisory body meetings (no interpretation, documents in English only) | 200 000 | 200 000 | 200 000 | 200 000 | 200 000 | | 1 000 000 | |
| Working group meetings (to be decided at the first plenary meeting of the platform) | - | - | - | - | - | | | |
| Total | 1 200 000 | 1 200 000 | 1 200 000 | 1 200 000 | 1 200 000 | | | 6 000 000 |
| Publications, outreach and communication | | | | | | | | |
| Publications (average costs per year) | 300 000 | 300 000 | 300 000 | 300 000 | 300 000 | | 1 500 000 | |
| Outreach and communication | 200 000 | 200 000 | 350 000 | 350 000 | 500 000 | | 1 600 000 | |
| Total | 500 000 | 500 000 | 650 000 | 650 000 | 800 000 | | | 3 100 000 |
| Secretariat | | | | | | | | |
| (1) Personnel* | | | | | | | | |
| Director (D-1) | 219 000 | 219 000 | 219 000 | 219 000 | 219 000 | | 1 095 000 | |
| Senior Programme Officer (P-5) | 203 000 | 203 000 | 203 000 | 203 000 | 203 000 | | 1 015 000 | |
| Programme Officer (P-4) | 175 000 | 175 000 | 175 000 | 175 000 | 175 000 | | 875 000 | |
| Two Programme Officers (P-3) (one for programme coordination, one for administrative matters) | 288 000 | 288 000 | 288 000 | 288 000 | 288 000 | | 1 440 000 | |
| Three General Service staff | 135 000 | 135 000 | 135 000 | 135 000 | 135 000 | | 675 000 | |
| Consultants (IT, communication) | 100 000 | 100 000 | 100 000 | 100 000 | 100 000 | | 500 000 | |
| Total | 1 120 000 | 1 120 000 | 1 120 000 | 1 120 000 | 1 120 000 | | | 5 600 000 |

UNEP/IPBES/3/INF/6

| Component | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | | Subtotal | Total |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|----------|------------|
| (2) Other expenses | | | | | | | | |
| Travel on official business | 70 000 | 70 000 | 70 000 | 70 000 | 70 000 | | 350 000 | |
| Equipment and premises | 100 000 | 100 000 | 100 000 | 100 000 | 100 000 | | 500 000 | |
| Evaluation | 10 000 | 10 000 | 10 000 | 10 000 | 15 000 | | 55 000 | |
| Miscellaneous | 65 000 | 65 000 | 65 000 | 65 000 | 65 000 | | 325 000 | |
| Total | 245 000 | 245 000 | 245 000 | 245 000 | 250 000 | | | 1 230 000 |
| Grand total | 3 065 000 | 3 065 000 | 3 215 000 | 3 215 000 | 3 370 000 | | | 15 930 000 |

^{*} Standard salary for Nairobi duty station. Actual figure may change slightly depending on the duty station. If the secretariat is hosted by the United Nations agencies, it is assumed that each agency would second its personnel at its own costs.